

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
001009                    EDITOR ENHANCEMENT / NEW FEATURE

**Submitter:**    CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a big job. Some shortcuts are possible, but at the expense of lost performance. Should not be attempted until after SI Editor is a 32-bit application (where any performance degradation would be less noticeable).

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Add a "Zoom" feature to the 32-bit Editor. Several users have requested this feature, they want to be able to change the zoom to 100%, 75%, 50%, Page Width, etc. This is a feature that is most commonly found in Word Processing Applications.

**Board Comments**

07/18/02 - Deferred until 32-Bit Editor is developed & performing flawless.  
06/13/02 - Change request was deferred and reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting. The request has been accepted.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
01-002                    WORKSTATION QUICK TOUR INSTALLATION

**Submitter:**    JOE LOONEY

**SI Recommendation**

This could be accomplished, but not through current download methods (we simply launch the user's default web browser, and the user tells his browser where to put the download file). Implementing this change would require significant study of the issues raised. If approved, we recommend RA.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Allow addition of appropriate files for downloaded QT to go to server where being utilized for workstations. I'm not sure what would happen if a workstation user downloads the QT files since there is no c:\Program Files\Specsintact folder on a workstation.

**Board Comments**

05/02/2001- 1620 Presented at meeting and it was deferred - Joe Looney will research to determine if this is still a valid request. 05/2/2001  
06/13/02 - Reviewed at SI meeting and Joe Looney withdrew his request.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

When a server installation is done, and the QT files exist, put them on the server, too. If the QT files are downloaded or updated later, provide for loading on server, too. Also, consider whether the download feature should be disabled for workstations (not sure about this one).

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** WITHDRAWN

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
01-003                    REFERENCE MANAGER

**Submitter:**    RAY DUNCAN

**SI Recommendation**

This could be combined with Mr. Quinn's change request for automatic updating of Section Reference Articles based on the current UMRL. We recommend the implementation of the request using the existing Reference Reconciliation process, along with enhancements suggested by Mr. Quinn (01-027)

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

For jobs I need to use the UMRL as the source for reference to be added to jobs and the source of the addresses to be added to the Reference Section (01420).

**Board Comments**

05/02/2001 - Presented at Meeting - DEFERRED -Will be reviewed after UMRL file reflects consistent references (Titles, Identifiers for all three agencies.]  
06/13/02 - 1620 was deferred at SI CCCB Meeting on 05/02/02 - it was partially accepted at the 06/13/02 meeting along with 01-059.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Add the ability in the reference manager to bring up the UMRL and use it to get the addresses and references to be added to the sections in a job.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED



# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
01-059                      REFERENCE PROCESSING

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**  
Cannot use UMRL for SI Reference Processing, but need to be able to.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Submitter:** JAMELL CASWELL

**SI Recommendation**

This should be worked with 981015, 001021, 01-003 and 01-027 submitted by Ray Duncan and Mr. Quinn.

**Board Comments**

11/14/02 -  
1. Agencies to get together and clean the UMRL FIRST!  
2. Agreed to: Check (report) of discrepancies between UMRL & MRL for Masters and UMRL & Jobs for old Projects; UMRL shall be distributed for reference purposes to all thru NIBS; Control of UMRL needed by perhaps the SpecsIntact Team.  
06/13/02 - Change request was reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting and was changed from Deferred to Accepted.

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Additional Notes**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Modify Reference Processing to use the UMRL. It should be available for Reference Processing, Print Processing and Reference Manager.

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-001                      Modifying Column (e) for the Navy Submittal Register (UFGS)

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**  
Over the course of the past few years, agreement was reached between Army, NASA, and Navy regarding standardization of submittals. The specifications from all three agencies will have the same format, numbers and names. This will allow specifications from all the three agencies to be incorporated into a single project specification using SpecsIntact.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Submitter:** MARTHA MULLER

The UFGS Master, consisting of Army and Navy Specifications uses the Navy Submittal Register Form. This is where the problem comes in. Navy's requirement has always been, iwhen a submittal item is located in the Submittal Article, it must be either appropriately added to existing text, a new subpart added to accommodate it, or a determination made to delete it as a submittal item.

**Version:**  
**Change was:** WITHDRAWN

**SI Recommendation**

The software could certainly be changed to accommodate this issue, but would rather see Army meet the requirements that were set forth by all three agencies for the Unified Submittal Format.

**Board Comments**

Army and Navy will clean up the UFGS Database before the next SI Meeting.

**Additional Notes**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Since Army and Navy are combining their databases together as the UFGS Master, if Army is going to continue with their ruling to use the Navy Submittal Register form, can the default be removed from the Navy Submittal Register to allow the Submittal Article number to print in Column (e), Paragraph #, if the submittal item is not found outside the submittal article..

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-002                      Revise the process when adding Section 01420

**Submitter:** DONALD HOLLAND

**SI Recommendation**  
Recommend modifying the MakeTOC program to remove the AOK and LOK designations during the creation of the 01420 Section.

**Additional Notes**  
7/18/02, 10:20:08 AM - FITZSCL - This should be worked in conjunction with Mr. Quinn's " SpecsIntact Master Maintenance" program that replaces/combines the MacToc, MastZip and other independent applications.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**  
In the UFGS Master, Section 01420, Sources for Reference Publications, contains "AOK 5/01 and LOK 2/01," symbols following the Organization Name, and are the subject of design review comments. Please clarify or delete in the future specs.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**  
When Section 01420 is automatically added into a job, remove "AOK and LOK," as well as their "dates."

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-004                      Creating a New Folder under the Job Name

**Submitter:** HAROLD ADLER

**SI Recommendation**  
This would certainly compromise the SpecsIntact structure therefore we recommend not implementing this enhancement.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**  
In SpecsIntact, under the Job Folder, you can see the Job Name, the Processed Folder, PDF Folder and a WordSpec Folder. Is there any possible way you can create an additional folder called "Miscellaneous."

I have several sections located in another folder which I created in Windows Explorer. Eventually, I plan on adding these sections into a job. I know I could create a new master and put these sections there however, I feel as though it would be more convenient for me if they were in a folder directly below the Job Name. I would know these sections are for this specific job. It will also be a lot easier to drag and drop the sections from this folder into the job.

**Board Comments**  
Send Submitter the following instructions: Use a Project Directory as the working directory for Specsintact Jobs -//server/ProjectName - SI will create a jobs folder when the working directory is created  
//server/ProjectName/Jobs/

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** REJECTED

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
02-005	UFGS Masters brought in through Backup/Restore/Manage	When downloading the UFGS masters from the CCB website they are zipped up through Dyna Zip. When trying to bring in the UFGS masters through backup/restore manage an error message appears Dyna Zip Unzip Error: No files to test, extract, etc (No items match filespec) Then an error message appears: Could not obtain required SpecsIntact backup data from the file c:\downloads\ufgsall.zip This may not be a SpecsIntact backup file	<b>Date Completed:</b>
<b>Submitter:</b>	JENNIFER HORVATH		
<b>SI Recommendation</b>	Recommend modifying the Mastzip program to incorporate this feature and distribute to NIBS.	<b>Board Comments</b> 06/13/02 - Modify MastZip to include all supporting files required for a master. Example Project Table of Contents, etc.	<b>Version:</b>
<b>Additional Notes</b>		<b>Submitter's Recommendation</b> Change the zip name from UFGSall.zip to UFGS_M.zip and insert the ufgs_m.sib, pull.tbl, and the wmaster.hdr files to enable the zipped files to be brought directly into SpecsIntact through the backup/restore/manage.	<b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
02-006	UFGS CHANGES DOCUMENT	The UFGS master "Changes" file is now provided on the CD as a PDF file and can no longer be viewed directly from SpecsIntact.	<b>Date Completed:</b>
<b>Submitter:</b>	JOE LOONEY		
<b>SI Recommendation</b>	Recommend that UFGS provide the document format in addition to the PDF File.	<b>Board Comments</b> 06/13/02 - Mr. Quinn to provide a change doc file within UFGS Master with links to CD and URL.	<b>Version:</b>
<b>Additional Notes</b>		<b>Submitter's Recommendation</b> Provide access to the changes files through the SpecsIntact explorer.	<b>Change was:</b> WITHDRAWN

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
02-007	Highlight Corrections	When working with a client or a customer it would be beneficial to be able to have the option to have any fixes or changes highlighted to help draw there attention to this part of the section.	<b>Date Completed:</b>
<b>Submitter:</b>	JENNIFER HORVATH		
<b>SI Recommendation</b>	This would be easily incorporated into the 32-bit Editor. Recommend this feature be implemented after the initial release of the 32-bit Editor.	<b>Board Comments</b> 06/13/02 - Instead of a new tag use the Chg tag to highlight.	<b>Version:</b>
<b>Additional Notes</b>	7/18/02, 9:39:40 AM - FITZSCL - Teleconference was held with Mr. Quinn on 06/14/02, it was determined that the <CHG> tag would not be the best way to handle this feature. I new tag "<HLS> - Highlight Screen", was created for this feature. The <HLS> tag will change the foreground color to green.  7/30/02, 2:36:13 PM - FITZSCL - This should be worked with 001026 and 02-008. This will possibly affect the FrontEnd, SI Editor, prntproc32.dll, prntjob.dll and WordSpec.	<b>Submitter's Recommendation</b> Have an icon at on the toolbar that allows for the user to highlight additions/ deletions or just places that the contractor would like to draw the customers attention to.	<b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED

---

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-008                      New Tag to Identify Preparing Activity

**Submitter:**    JIM QUINN

**SI Recommendation**

**Additional Notes**

4/25/02, 2:07:23 PM - ROBINPT - After talking with Mr. Quinn we realized he really just needed the MakeToC program updated.

Tag to Identify Preparing Activity: The tag we requested will greatly simply the identification of the preparing activity and will be a great help to users and guide specifications developers. The Preparing Activity information is located on the second line of the banner of each specification.

The implementation of the new tag will require updating the INI files, Installation, WordSpec, SI Editor, and User Documentation. Code will need to be written to allow for specifications with or without the tag to process correctly in SpecsIntact.

7/30/02, 2:33:02 PM - FITZSCL - This should be worked with 001026 and 02-007. This will possibly affect the FrontEnd, SI Editor, prntproc32.dll, prntjob.dll and WordSpec.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Tag to Identify Preparing Activity: The tag we requested will greatly simply the identification of the preparing activity and will be a great help to users and guide specifications developers. The Preparing Activity information is located on the second line of the banner of each specification.

The implementation of the new tag will require updating the INI files, Installation, WordSpec, SI Editor, and User Documentation. Code will need to be written to allow for specifications with or without the tag to process correctly in SpecsIntact.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-009                      Modify MakeToc Program to Identify Preparing Activity

**Submitter:**    JIM QUINN

**SI Recommendation**

We recommend making changes to the Maketoc Program. Instead of extracting the information from the Master Shelf.doc file the information can be extracted from the section files to generate the UFGS Master Table of Contents (TOC).

**Additional Notes**

7/18/02, 10:12:18 AM - FITZSCL - This should be worked in conjunction with Mr. Quinn's " SpecsIntact Master Maintenance" program that replaces/combines the MacToc, MastZip and other independent applications as well as #001022.

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Modify the Maketoc program to extract the Preparing Activity information and include the information in the UFGS Master Table of Contents maintained on the National Institute of Building Sciences (NIBS) web site.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-011                      REFERENCE MANAGER AUTO UPDATE SUPPLEMENTAL

**Submitter:**    CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a good enhancement to implement. And would save a considerable amount of time on the production end of a job. This should be implemented at the same time as 1620 #02-011 and #02-015.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Provide the capability to the Reference Manager Menu to automatically update the Supplemental Reference List. There is not process in place that makes this functionality easy, this must be done manually which makes this process complicated, step intensive and time consuming.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Add a new functionality to the Reference Manager to automatically "Update Supplemental".

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-012                      NEW EDITOR FUNCTION - REPEAT LAST ACTION

**Submitter:**    ANNA VARNER

**SI Recommendation**

This would be a good enhancement for use with text and could be incorporated into the a later release of the 32-bit Editor.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Suggest a Feature/Function Key similar to the F4 Function Key in Microsoft Word, "Repeat Last Action". This would be a great time saver on the production end of the Specifications. This feature is very helpful when doing a Item list and using the Indent function to repeat the insertion of tags. Also, when marking up Section 00101, Bid Schedules and 00102, List of Drawings. Often times the information is repeated several times. If this feature was implemented it would save time, highlighting, copying, and pasting the data into the right location. Only one function key would have to be selected and the action would be done.

**Board Comments**

06/13/02 - Notify requester to use copy and paste and also instructions on how to use copy without tags.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** REJECTED

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-013                      PDF PUBLISH BOOKMARKS - ONE PER SECTION

**Submitter:**    DWIGHT STATON

**SI Recommendation**

Recommend this request be rejected for lack of information. User had been contacted via email but has not responded back.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Would like option to generate only one bookmark per section beginning at the Section.

**Board Comments**

Recommend to submitter to click on the bookmark at the beginning of the section. The feature is currently available.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** REJECTED

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
02-014	PDF PUBLISH BOOKMARKS - INCLUDE SECTION NUMBER IN TITLE	Would like option to include section number in bookmark title of each section.	<b>Date Completed:</b>
<b>Submitter:</b>	DWIGHT STATON		<b>Version:</b>
<b>SI Recommendation</b>	This is a good recommendation, and would be good to implement into the PDF Publish feature.	<b>Board Comments</b>	<b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b>Additional Notes</b>		<b>Submitter's Recommendation</b>	

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
02-015	REFERENCE MANAGER AUTO UPDATE ADDRESS SECTION	Provide the capability to the Reference Manager create / update a new Reference Organization and automatically update the Address Section (01420). There is not process in place that makes this functionality easy. All updates must be done manually which makes this process complicated, step intensive and time consuming.	<b>Date Completed:</b>
<b>Submitter:</b>	CHERYL FITZ-SIMON		<b>Version:</b>
<b>SI Recommendation</b>	This would be a good enhancement to implement a.nd would save a considerable amount of time on the production end of a job. This should be implemented at the same time as 1620 #02-011 and #02-015.	<b>Board Comments</b>	<b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b>Additional Notes</b>		<b>Submitter's Recommendation</b>	
		Add a new functionality to the Reference Manager to Create New Reference Organizations and automatically "Update Address Section".	

---

<u>Control Number</u>	<u>Title of Prob/Requirements</u>	<u>Explanation of Problem/Requirement</u>	<b>Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002</b>
02-016	AUTOMATIC SUBMITTAL ARTICLE / REGISTER GENERATION	Have SPECSINTACT generate the SUBMITTALS paragraph (as well as the SUBMITTAL REGISTER).	<b>Date Completed:</b>
<b>Submitter:</b>	JOE LOONEY	This will provide a method to encourage project specification writers to edit the list of submittals.	<b>Version:</b>
<b>SI Recommendation</b>	We recommend going with Joe's first solution to provide tag attributes that will support the automatic generation of the Submittal Article. This would be a very beneficial feature for both the Master Text Preparer's as well as your user community.	<b>Board Comments</b>	<b>Change was:</b> ACCEPTED
<b>Additional Notes</b>		<b>Submitter's Recommendation</b>	
		06/13/02 - Low Priority	
		Currently, the spec-preparer must manually edit submittals and items under submittals. Often times, since this paragraph is at the beginning of the section, it is skipped over as editing begins, and then forgotten. In the process, provisions have been removed from the section, but corresponding submittal items in the SUBMITTALS paragraph have not. The result is conflicting requirements in the spec.	

---

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-017                    DISPLAY SECTION DATE IN SI EXPLORER

**Submitter:** RICHARD CORNER

**SI Recommendation**

Adding a Column in the SpecsIntact Explorer for the Section Date (as specified in the <DTE> tags) would be both easy and worthwhile. This would be another useful piece of information for our users.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

In the Jobs browser, it would be extremely useful to have a column for Section Dates, i.e., to display the release date (mm/yy format) of each section under a job. The Section Date column would be indexed to the tagged <DTE>mm/yy</DTE> data beneath each section title.

**Board Comments**

06/13/02 - Low Priority

**Submitter's Recommendation**

By displaying the section release dates in the browser, users can quickly evaluate whether or not the job contains the latest available masters. The Army and Navy are revising and reissuing masters on a relatively irregular timetable, and they expect us to edit the latest available masters for each project. The CCB is issued only twice a year, and interim updates on the CCB website, while available, are not announced. It is a nuisance to open sections in the SI Editor one at a time just to retrieve this date.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-018                    OPTION TO PRINT SECTION DATE ON THE PTOC

**Submitter:** RICHARD CORNER

**SI Recommendation**

Allowing the option to print the Section Date in the Project Table of Contents is a very good idea and would be beneficial to all of our users.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Add a new option that allows the Master Section Dates (<DTE>) to print on the Project Table of Contents.

**Board Comments**

06/13/02 - Low Priority

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Include an option to print the Section Dates in the Jobs Table of Contents in such a manner that each sections master release date prints after the section number but before the title of each section. An alternative would be to create a new report which displayed the section numbers, release dates and titles.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
02-019                    AUTOMATIC GENERATION OF REFERENCE ARTICLE FOR JOBS

**Submitter:** CHERYL FITZ-SIMON

**SI Recommendation**

We recommend this feature is implemented for the processing of jobs. It would simplify this process considerably for our users and save a lot of support time for the Technical Support Desk.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Currently the process to Generate New Reference Articles in Section, is only available in Masters. In order to do this on a Job using the UFGSREF Master is confusing and time consuming to the users.

**Board Comments**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Make the Processing feature to Generate New Reference Article in Sections available for Jobs as well as Masters.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** ACCEPTED

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
961012                    AUTOMATE TESTING PLAN AND LOG

**Submitter:** CARL KERSTEN

**SI Recommendation**  
Needs R/A.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

ROICCs do not currently have the capacity to efficiently track testing performed on a contract. NAVFAC has a Testing Plan and Log Form that contractors are required to manually fill out. In many cases, it is difficult and time consuming to verify that all the tests were included in the log. The tests are typically listed throughout the specs and are many times missed either because the contractor never listed the test in the plan and log form or the test was not entered in the form. In some cases, tests are repetitive and it is difficult to even keep track of how many tests were performed and how many reports were submitted. The testing plan and log form is updated as the job progresses.

**Board Comments**

11/18/98 - Still Deferred/Carl Kersten to get more information.  
06/13/02 - Change request was deferred and reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting. The request has been withdrawn..

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Automating the testing process similar to the submittal register. If this process is automated, the list of tests would be complete and the field administration efforts could be concentrated on maintaining the log current.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** WITHDRAWN

**Control Number**    **Title of Prob/Requirements**  
971024                    OUTLINE WITH HYPERTEXT JUMP TO SUBPART

**Submitter:** THOMAS ADAMS

**SI Recommendation**  
Recommended for 32-bit software.

**Additional Notes**

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Create an Outline, visible in the Editor, that displays the outline of the section being edited. Then the user clicks on a subpart in the outline, the Editor would be repositioned to that subpart.

**Board Comments**

Review enhancement at later date for 32-bit version. Was previously Deferred at the March 1998 mtg.  
11/17/98 - When going to MFC develop time estimate - will remain Deferred for now.  
06/13/02 - Change request was deferred and reviewed at the SI-CCCB meeting. The request has been withdrawn.

**Submitter's Recommendation**

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**  
**Date Completed:**

**Version:**  
**Change was:** WITHDRAWN

# All 1620s From 06/13/2002 Meeting

---

**Control Number**

981011

**Title of Prob/Requirements**

MAKE SECTION/PROJECT TOC MORE DISTINCTIVE

**Explanation of Problem/Requirement**

Section and Project TOC should be printed with page lettering (such as "i" and "ii") instead of numbers. The Table of Contents is more of an Index than a page of the specification.

**Board Reviewed - Jun 13, 2002**

**Date Completed:**

**Submitter:** DOUG LARSEN

**SI Recommendation**

Some users would not want this, so we would have to make it optional. Providing this option with continuous page numbering would be confusing, so we recommend limiting to cases where each section is numbered separately. A week is all that is needed to implement with this limitation.

**Board Comments**

11/17/98 - Deferred for review at another time.  
06/13/02 - Not sure if there are enough users out there that would warrant adding such an option.

**Version:**

**Change was:** DEFERRED

**Additional Notes**

**Submitter's Recommendation**

Re-code the software to process Table of Contents with page numbering that reflects index numbering rather than page numbering as in the specification. This will make the sections less confusing.

---